CLERK US DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DIST OF TX FILED

DEPUTY OF FRA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS 2018 JAN 29 AM 11: 18 DALLAS DIVISION

		The state of the s
RICKY R. FRANKLIN)	Civil Action File No.
Plaintiff,)	318 - CV0228-L
)	· ·
v.)	JURY DEMAND
)	
CLEAR SPRING LOAN)	
SERVICES, d/b/a SORTIS)	
FINANCIAL		
Defendant		

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

NOW COMES the Plaintiff, RICKY R. FRANKLIN, by and through himself and for his Complaint against the Defendant CLEAR SPRING LOAN SERVICES d/b/a, SORTIS FINANCIAL, and Plaintiff states as follows:

NATURE OF THIS ACTION

1. Plaintiff brings this action for actual and statutory damages arising out of and relating to the conduct of Defendants, to include all of its affiliates, subsidiaries, and/or related entities, as well as all persons and entities acting on behalf of Defendants, including but not limited to CLEAR SPRING LOAN SERVICES d/b/a, SORTIS FINANCIAL, in negligently, knowingly, and/or willfully contacting Plaintiff on his cellular telephone without his express consent within the

meaning of the TCPA. This is an action for actual and statutory damages for violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (hereinafter, "TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. section 227 et seq.¹

JURISDICTION & VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction of this Court is conferred by 15 U.S.C. § 1692 and 28 U.S.C. § 1331. Supplemental jurisdiction exists pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

PARTIES

- 4. RICKY R. FRANKLIN, (hereinafter, Plaintiff) is a natural person at all relevant times residing in county of Henry, State of Georgia.
- Defendant Clear Spring Loan Services, Inc, (hereinafter, CLS) is a loan company with a corporate office located at 18451 N. Dallas Parkway, Suite 100, Dallas, Texas 75287.
- 6. At all relevant times, Defendant has conducted business in Georgia, solicited business in Georgia, engaged in a persistent course of conduct in Georgia, or has derived substantial revenue from services rendered in Georgia.

¹ Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, Pub. L. No. 102-243, 105 Stat. 2394 (1991), codified at 47 U.S.C. § 227 (TCPA). The TCPA amended Title II of the Communications Act of 1934, 47 U.S.C. § 201 et seq.

THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT OF 1991 (TCPA) 47 U.S.C §227

- 7. In 1991, Congress enacted the TCPA in response to a growing number of consumer complaints regarding certain telemarketing practices.
- 8. The TCPA regulates, inter alia, the use of automated telephone equipment, or "predictive-dialers", defined as equipment which "has the capacity...(a) to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator; and (b) to dial such numbers. 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(1). Specifically, the plain language of section 227(b)(1)(A)(iii) prohibits the use of auto-dialers to make any call to a wireless number in the absence of an emergency or the prior express consent of the called party.²
- 9. According to findings by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), the agency Congress vested with authority to issue regulations implementing the TCPA, such calls are prohibited because, as Congress found, automated or prerecorded telephone calls are a greater nuisance and invasion of privacy than live solicitation calls, and such calls can be costly and inconvenient. The FCC also recognized that wireless customers are charged for incoming calls whether they pay in advance or after the minutes are used. ³

² 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A)(iii)

³Rules and Regulations Implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, CG Docket No. 02-278, Report and Order, 18 FCC Rcd. 14014 (2003)

ALLEGATIONS OF FACT

- On or about the beginning of July, 2014, Plaintiff received a letter from
 Defendant claiming he owed some alleged debt.
- 11. On October 24, 2014, at 1934hrs, Plaintiff answered his cellphone...and heard an automated voice say "please stay on the line". After waiting for a few seconds, a representative came on the line. Plaintiff informed the representative that he did not wish to receive calls on his cellphone. The representative told the Plaintiff "That the automated calls would continue". This call was recorded by the Defendants as well as the Plaintiff.
- 12. During the following months, Plaintiff received over seven-teen (17) phone calls from the Defendants and its agents.
- 13. On the very 1st call, Plaintiff informed the agent to "please stop calling his cellular phone".
- 14. Despite Plaintiff's request, CLS continued to harass Plaintiff with automated calls which caused the Plaintiff to incur significant anxiety and inconvenience.
- 15. The calls Plaintiff received were made using equipment that had the capacity to conduct calling campaigns supplemented by automation, which stores or produces telephone numbers to be called.

- 16. Plaintiff never consented to, requested, or otherwise desired or permitted calls from the Defendant using pre-recorded voice messages for the purpose of debt collection or any other purpose.
- 17. Plaintiff has never provided his cellular phone number to Defendant or given his express consent to be called, whether on his own or on behalf of any third party.
- 18. Plaintiff suffers damages in the form of charges to his cellphone for these unauthorized phone calls.
- 19. Plaintiff suffered a concrete and real invasion of Plaintiff's legally protected privacy rights through Defendant's violation of the TCPA. The continuous unwarranted phone calls caused the Plaintiff harassment, as well use of his personal phone which could have been used for other purposes
- 20. Plaintiff suffered harm and damages in the form of emotional distress such as anger, and resentment each time he received a phone call from the Defendant.

<u>COUNT I</u> <u>KNOWING AND/OR WILLFUL VIOLATIONS</u> <u>OF THE ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. SECTION 227, ET SEQ.</u>

21. The telephone number called by Defendant was assigned to a cellular telephone service for which Plaintiff incurs charges for incoming calls pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).

- 22. Using prohibited equipment and without prior express written consent, the Defendant CLS, contacted the Plaintiff over 17(seventeen) times by means of artificial pre-recorded voice messages to a cellphone or pager in violation of 47 U.S.C. 227(b)(1)(A)(iii).
- 23. As a result of the Defendant's knowing and/or willful violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., Plaintiff is entitled to treble damages of up to \$1,500.00 for each and every call in violation of the statue, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3).
- 24. The telephone number called by Defendant was assigned to a cellular telephone service for which Plaintiff incurs charges for incoming calls pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).

<u>COUNT II</u> <u>NEGLIGENT VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER</u> <u>PROTECTION ACT 47 U.S.C. §227, et seq</u>

- 25. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 26. Using prohibited equipment and without prior express consent, the Defendant CLS, contacted the Plaintiff at least seventeen (17) times by means of automatic telephone calls or, predictive-dialers in violation of 47 U.S.C. section 227 et seq.
- 27. Plaintiff instructed Defendants to stop all calls to him and cease calling his cellular telephone. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendants constitute

numerous and multiple negligent violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227et seq. 28. As a result of both Defendants World Finance Inc., and Defendant Sterling Finance Inc., negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- a) As a result of Defendants, Clearspring Loan Servicing, d/b/a Sortis Financial., negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B);
- b) As a result of Defendants, Clearspring Loan Servicing, d/b/a Sortis Financial., willful and/or knowing violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1), Plaintiff seeks statutory damages of \$1500.00 for each and every call that violated the TCPA;
- c) Actual damages from the Defendants for all damages including emotional distress suffered as a result of the intentional, reckless, and/or negligent behavior pursuant the TCPA;
- d) A trial by jury on all issues so triable;
- e) Such other and further relief as may be just and proper

Respectfully submitted,

Case 3:18-cv-00228-L-BH Document 3 Filed 01/29/18 Page 8 of 10 PageID 12

Ricky R. Franklin

708 Brambling Way Stockbridge, GA 30281 (678-650-3733)

rrfrank12@hotmail.com

					RECEIVED		
JS 44 (Rev. 06/17) 350 (2:1	೩ _ಗ ಢv-00228-L-BI	- Gacuntect	3vEksh42 418		PageID 13		
The JS 44 civil cover sheet and provided by local rules of court purpose of initiating the civil do	the information contained la. This form, approved by thocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR	nerein neither replace no ne Judicial Conference of TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	or supplement the filing and softhe United States in Septem F THIS FORM.)	ervice of pleadings or other pa aber 1974, is required for the			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	- 0		DEFENDA	•	OLERK U.S. DISTRICT OF TEXA		
hicky R. franklin			Cle	Clear Spring Loan Services			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff			i	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Dayles			
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			NOTE: IN LAN				
(6) 444				THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)			
(C) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)			Audineys (y Kii	·	~**		
				318 -	CV0228-I		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP O	F PRINCIPAL PART	IES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government ?	Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	PTF DEF	PTF DEF or Principal Place		
J 2 U.S. Government Defendant	1 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh)	p of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State		and Principal Place		
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	□ 3 □ 3 Foreign Nati	ion		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box On				ture of Suit Code Descriptions.		
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice VIRTE 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Fraud 710 Truth in Lending 385 Property Damage Product Liability Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	TY CARON TO STAND CARON CARON	e de	375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce d 460 Deportation 170 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 895 Freedom of Information Act		
↑ Proceeding Sta	moved from 3 3 te Court Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	Appellate Court tute under which you ar	Reopened A	pecify) Tran	gation - Litigation -		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Brief description of cause: Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA)							
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND: 27 Yes ONO							
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER							
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD Auch							
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY							
RECEIPT# AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE							

